

IMPLICATIONS OF ENERGY CONDITIONS ON STANDARD STATIC SPACE-TIMES

FERNANDO DOBARRO AND BÜLENT ÜNAL

ABSTRACT. In the framework of standard static space times, we state a family of sufficient or necessary conditions for a set of physically reasonable energy and convergence conditions in relativity and related theories. We concentrate our study on questions about the sub-harmonicity of the warping function, the scalar curvature map, conformal hyperbolicity, conjugate points and the time-like diameter of this class of space-times.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	5
3. Energy Conditions and Implications	8
3.1. Definitions, Generalities and more	8
3.2. Some implications on standard static space-times	10
4. Consequences and Examples	17
4.1. Conformal Hyperbolicity	17
4.2. Conjugate Points	20
4.3. Some special examples	22
5. Conclusions	26
References	27

Date: January 4, 2009.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C21, 53C50, 53C80.

Key words and phrases. Warped products, standard static space-times, energy conditions, sub-harmonic functions, scalar curvature map, conformal hyperbolicity, conjugate points.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with the study of energy conditions on standard static space-times. Our first objective is to obtain a family of necessary and/or sufficient conditions for a set of energy conditions on standard static space-times. The second aim is to apply the latter to a group of questions about conformal hyperbolicity (in the sense of M. J. Markowitz) and the existence of conjugate points in the same framework. Especially, we also pay attention to a set of partial differential operators involved in these discussions ¹.

Throughout our study, the warped product of manifolds is the underlying central concept. The warped product of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds were introduced in general relativity as a method to find general solutions to Einstein's field equations. Two important examples include generalized Robertson-Walker space-times and standard static space-times. The latter class can be regarded as a generalization of the Einstein static universe.

We recall that a warped product can be defined as follows [11, 69]. Let (B, g_B) and (F, g_F) be pseudo-Riemannian manifolds and also let $b: B \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a smooth function. Then the (singly) *warped product*, $B \times_b F$ is the product manifold $B \times F$ furnished with the metric tensor $g = g_B \oplus b^2 g_F$ defined by

$$g = \pi^*(g_B) \oplus (b \circ \pi)^2 \sigma^*(g_F),$$

where $\pi: B \times F \rightarrow B$ and $\sigma: B \times F \rightarrow F$ are the usual projection maps and $*$ denotes the pull-back operator on tensors.

A *standard static space-time* (also called *globally static*, see [51]) is a Lorentzian warped product where the warping function is defined on a Riemannian manifold (called the natural space or Riemannian part) and acting on the negative definite metric on an open interval of real numbers. More precisely, a standard static space-time, denoted by $I_f \times F$, is a Lorentzian warped product furnished with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$, where (F, g_F) is a Riemannian manifold, $f: F \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is smooth and $I = (t_1, t_2)$ with $-\infty \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq \infty$. In [69], it was shown that any static space-time ² is *locally* isometric to a standard static space-time.

¹We would like to inform the reader that some of the results provided in this article were previously announced in [28].

²An n -dimensional space-time (M, g) is called *static* if there exists a nowhere vanishing time-like Killing vector field X on M such that the distribution of each $(n-1)$ -plane orthogonal to X is integrable (see [11, Subsection 3.7] and also the general relativity texts [42, 44, 74]).

Standard static space-times have been previously studied by many authors. O. Kobayashi and M. Obata [50] stated the geodesic equation for this kind of space-times. The causal structure and geodesic completeness were considered in [3], where sufficient conditions on the warping function for causal geodesic completeness of the standard static space-time were obtained (see also [73]). The existence of geodesics in standard static space-times has been studied by several authors. In [75], Sánchez gives a good overview of geodesic connectedness in semi-Riemannian manifolds, including a discussion for standard static space-times (see also [76, 77]). The geodesic structure of standard static space-times has been studied in [4] and conditions are found which imply nonreturning and pseudoconvex geodesic systems. As a consequence, it is shown that if the complete Riemannian factor F satisfies the nonreturning property and has a pseudoconvex geodesic system and the warping function $f: F \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is bounded from above, then the standard static spacetime $(a, b)_f \times F$ is geodesically connected. In [26], some conditions for the Riemannian factor and the warping function of a standard static space-time are obtained in order to guarantee that no nontrivial warping function on the Riemannian factor can make the standard static space-time Einstein.

In general relativity and related theories, the energy conditions are a set of physically reasonable imposed constraints to the underlying space-time (for a deeper discussions about the several energy conditions see [19, 37, 43, 69, 86, 87] among many others). In [1, 2] the author investigates conditions on the warping function which guarantee that a standard static space-times either satisfy or else fail to satisfy certain energy or convergence conditions. Some part of our results in the following sections are narrowly related to those of D. Allison.

Now, we briefly mention some properties of the Lorentzian pseudo-distance d_M on an $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional Lorentzian manifold (M, g) defined by M. J. Markowitz in [64, 65], where the author follows the procedure developed by S. Kobayashi in [52] (see also [53, 54]). The Lorentzian pseudo-distance d_M depends only on the conformal class, that is, it remains the same for all conformal metrics to g . It is known that for strongly causal space-times, the causal structure is equivalent to the conformal structure and hence this causes a link between d_M and the causal structure of (M, g) . At this point, we want to emphasize that the classical result about the equivalency of the causal structure and the conformal structure is proven to be true even for distinguishing space-times (see [39]) but the same authors points out that the causal structure and the conformal structure should not be

identified (see [40]). A Lorentzian manifold (M, g) is called conformally hyperbolic if the Lorentzian pseudo-distance d_M satisfies all the conditions of an arbitrary distance function. In [64, THEOREM 5.8], it is proven that an $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional Lorentzian manifold (M, g) is conformally hyperbolic if it satisfies the null convergence condition and the null generic condition. Hence, conformal hyperbolicity becomes a natural property for physically realistic space-times. Moreover, a conformally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifold is causally incomplete in sense of Markowitz (see [64, Proposition 3.3]). Here, we would like to have the attention of the reader to the difference between the usual causal completeness (see [11]) and causal completeness *in the sense of Markowitz* (see [64, 65]) of a space-time. According to the former, every causal geodesic must be defined on the set of all real numbers but according to the latter, every *null* geodesic must be extended to arbitrary values of every *projective parameter*. On the other hand, a null geodesically complete Lorentzian manifold satisfying the reverse null convergence condition, namely $\text{Ric}(v, v) \leq 0$ for any null tangent vector v , has the trivial pseudo-distance, i.e., $d_M \equiv 0$ (see [64, Theorem 5.1]). By applying the results in [64], Markowitz studied the conformal hyperbolicity of generalized Robertson-Walker space-times in [65]. He also computed explicitly the Lorentzian pseudo-distance d_M on the Einstein-de Sitter space-time by conformally imbedding this space-time into Minkowski space.

After the previous brief description of the generic scenario for our study, we now provide an outline of the paper.

In *Section 2*, we recall some definitions and suitable expressions of Ricci and scalar curvatures for a standard static space-time.

We also recall some topics in the study of the scalar curvature map $\tau : g_F \mapsto \tau_{g_F}$, where g_F is a Riemannian metric on a given manifold F and τ_{g_F} denotes the associated scalar curvature of (F, g_F) . In the analysis of τ it is usually useful to consider the linearization of this map, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_{g_F} h = d\tau(g_F + th)/dt|_{t=0}$. It turns out that $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}$ is elliptic and the study of the kernel of its formal adjoint $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f$ plays a central role to analyze the surjectivity of $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}$. In the 70's Bourguignon and Fischer-Marsden showed that: if (F, g_F) is a compact manifold, a necessary condition for a nontrivial kernel of $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^*$ is that τ_{g_F} be a nonnegative constant.

On the other hand, for a given smooth function $f : F \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, we introduce the 2-covariant tensor

$$Q_{g_F}^f := \Delta_{g_F} f g_F - H_{g_F}^f,$$

where (F, g_F) is a Riemannian manifold and $\Delta_{g_F}(\cdot) = g_F^{ij} \nabla_i^{g_F} \nabla_j^{g_F}(\cdot)$ and H_{g_F} are the associated Laplace-Beltrami operator and Hessian tensor, respectively. We consider also the Ricci tensor of (F, g_F) , denoted by Ric_{g_F} .

The tensors $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f$, $Q_{g_F}^f$, Ric_{g_F} and their associated quadratic forms play a central role in the next two sections.

In *Section 3*, after recalling a set of energy and convergence conditions relevant in general relativity, we obtain a family of necessary and/or sufficient conditions for them on a standard static space-time. In *Subsection 3.1* we introduce the energy and convergence conditions and make some generic comments about them. In the first part of *Subsection 3.2*, we state a family of results that show up a connection among the strong energy condition and a family of Liouville type results for subharmonic functions on the Riemannian part of a standard static space-time (see *Theorem 3.1* and its *corollaries*). In the second part, the principal results are *Theorems 3.7, 3.11, 3.12, 3.15* and their corollaries, notice particularly *Corollary 3.9*. All these results are based on suitable hypothesis for the definiteness of the quadratic forms associated to the above mentioned tensors $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f$, $Q_{g_F}^f$ and Ric_{g_F} . At the end of this subsection, in *Theorem 3.19*, we give a “partial” extension of the Bourguignon/Fischer-Marsden result mentioned above to the case where the involved manifold is complete but noncompact and with nonnegative Ricci curvature.

In *Section 4*, we combine the results in *Section 3* (particularly, *Theorem 3.7*) with the results of Markowitz.

In *Subsection 4.1*, we obtain sufficient conditions for a standard static space-time to be conformally hyperbolic. In brief, we obtain that if the quadratic forms associated to the Ricci tensor on the natural space and $Q_{g_F}^f$ are positive semi-definite and positive definite, respectively, then a standard static space-time of the form $I_f \times F$ is conformally hyperbolic (see *Theorem 4.2*).

In *Subsection 4.2*, we establish sufficient conditions in order to guarantee that any causal geodesic on a standard static space-time has a pair of conjugate points. By a stronger set of hypothesis, we also obtain an estimate from above for the time-like diameter of the standard static space-time (see *Corollaries 4.3 and 4.4*).

Finally, in *Subsection 4.3*, we show some results connecting the tensor $Q_{g_F}^f$, conformal hyperbolicity, concircular scalar fields and Hessian manifolds. More precisely, we give sufficient conditions for a standard static space-time to be conformally hyperbolic where the Riemannian part admits a concircular scalar field or is a global Hessian manifold.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the article I will denote an open real interval of the form $I = (t_1, t_2)$ where $-\infty \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq \infty$. Moreover, (F, g_F) will denote a connected Riemannian manifold without boundary with $\dim F = s$. Finally, on an arbitrary differentiable manifold N , $C_{>0}^\infty(N)$ denotes the set of all strictly positive C^∞ functions defined on N , $TN = \bigcup_{p \in N} T_p N$ denotes the tangent bundle of N and $\mathfrak{X}(N)$ will denote the $C^\infty(N)$ -module of smooth vector fields on N .³

Definition 2.1. Let $f \in C_{>0}^\infty(F)$. The $n(= 1+s)$ -dimensional product manifold $I \times F$ furnished with the metric tensor $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$ is called a *standard static space-time* (also usually called *globally static*, see [51]) and is denoted by $I_f \times F$.

Definition 2.2. Let $b \in C_{>0}^\infty(I)$. The $n(= 1+s)$ -dimensional product manifold $I \times F$ endowed with the metric tensor $g = -dt^2 \oplus b^2 g_F$ is called a *generalized Robertson-Walker space-time* and following the warped product notation is denoted by $I \times_b F$.

On a warped product of the form $B \times_f F$, we will denote the set of lifts to the product by the corresponding projection of the vector fields in $\mathfrak{X}(B)$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{X}(F)$) by $\mathfrak{L}(B)$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{L}(F)$) (see [69]). We will use the same symbol for a tensor field and its lift.

The following formula of the curvature Ricci tensor can be easily obtained from [11, 26, 27, 69].

Proposition 2.3. Let $I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time furnished with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$. Suppose that $U_1, U_2 \in \mathfrak{L}(I)$ and $V_1, V_2 \in \mathfrak{L}(F)$. If Ric and Ric_{g_F} denote the Ricci tensors of $I_f \times F$ and (F, g_F) , respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Ric}(U_1 + V_1, U_2 + V_2) \\ (2.1) \quad &= \text{Ric}_{g_F}(V_1, V_2) + f \Delta_{g_F} f \, dt^2(U_1, U_2) - \frac{1}{f} H_{g_F}^f(V_1, V_2) \\ &= -\frac{1}{f} \mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f(V_1, V_2) - \frac{1}{f} \Delta_{g_F} f \, g(U_1 + V_1, U_2 + V_2) \end{aligned}$$

where $H_{g_F}^f$ is the Hessian tensor of f on (F, g_F) and $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f$ is the 2-covariant tensor given by

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f := -f \text{Ric}_{g_F} - \Delta_{g_F} f \, g_F + H_{g_F}^f$$

on (F, g_F) .

³Notation: In the present study, manifolds are denoted by B, F, M, N ; points by p, q, x, y ; vectors by v, w, x, y and also vector fields by V, W, X, Y .

In [26], we studied the problem of constancy of the scalar curvature on a standard static space-time, in particular we obtained the following formula.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time furnished with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$. If τ and τ_{g_F} denote the scalar curvatures of $I_f \times F$ and (F, g_F) , respectively, then*

$$\tau = \tau_{g_F} - 2 \frac{1}{f} \Delta_{g_F} f.$$

As a consequence f is sub-harmonic (i.e., $\Delta_{g_F} f \geq 0$) if and only if $\tau < \tau_{g_F}$ (since $f \in C_{>0}^\infty(F)$).

Now, we will introduce a special tensor frequently used to establish energy conditions on a standard static space-time (see for instance [1, 2]). This tensor will play a central role in the next sections.

Notation 2.5. Let (F, g_F) be a Riemannian manifold and $f \in C_{>0}^\infty(F)$. From now on, $Q_{g_F}^f$ denotes the 2-covariant tensor given by

$$(2.3) \quad Q_{g_F}^f := \Delta_{g_F} f g_F - H_{g_F}^f.$$

If it is necessary, we will emphasize the evaluation points $p \in F$ by writing $Q_{g_F|p}^f$. We will apply the latter convention for other tensors too.

Furthermore, we will denote its associated quadratic form by $\mathcal{Q}_{g_F}^f$ for any point $p \in F$. More precisely, for any $v \in T_p F$

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{g_F|p}^f(v) := Q_{g_F|p}^f(v, v),$$

where $T_p F$ is the tangent space to F at p .

Analogously, we will denote the associated quadratic form to the Ricci tensor Ric by $\mathcal{Ric}$. So, for any *unit* tangent vector $v \in T_p F$

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{Ric}_{g_F|p}(v) := \text{Ric}_{g_F|p}(v, v)$$

is so called the Ricci curvature in the direction of v (see [6, 13, 14]).

Besides, we will denote the associated quadratic form to the 2-covariant tensor $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f$ by $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f$.

Remark 2.6. ⁴ It is easy to prove that the 2-covariant tensor $Q_{g_F}^f$ is *divergence-free*. Indeed, by definition of the involved differential operators, the identity stated as $\text{div}_{g_F}(\phi g_F) = d\phi$ for all $\phi \in C^\infty(F)$ and

⁴ We observe that this result is valid on any pseudo-Riemannian manifold if one takes the signature of the metric in the definition of the divergence into account.

commuting derivatives (see [69, p. 85-87]),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\operatorname{div}_{g_F} Q_{g_F}^f)_j &= (\operatorname{div}_{g_F} ((\Delta_{g_F} f) g_F) - \operatorname{div}_{g_F} H_{g_F}^f)_j \\
 &= (d(\Delta_{g_F} f) - \operatorname{div}_{g_F} H_{g_F}^f)_j \\
 (2.6) \quad &= \nabla_j \nabla^k \nabla_k f - \nabla^k \nabla_j \nabla_k f \\
 &= \nabla_j \nabla^k \nabla_k f - \nabla_j \nabla^k \nabla_k f \\
 &= 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

for any j , where $\nabla = \nabla^{g_F}$ is the Levi-Civita connection associated to (F, g_F) .

Remark 2.7. Recall that if f is convex (or concave, respectively), i.e., $H_{g_F}^f(v, v) \geq 0$ for any $v \in TF$ (or $H_{g_F}^f(v, v) \leq 0$ for any $v \in TF$, respectively) then $\Delta_{g_F} f \geq 0$ (or $\Delta_{g_F} f \leq 0$, respectively).

Remark 2.8. Let $Q_{g_F}^f$ be defined as above with $\dim F = s \geq 2$.

- (i) If the symmetric bilinear form $Q_{g_F|p}^f$ is positive (respectively, negative) semi-definite for a point $p \in F$, then $(\Delta_{g_F} f)|_p \geq 0$ (respectively, ≤ 0). It is sufficient to observe that $\operatorname{tr}_{g_F} Q_{g_F}^f = (s-1)\Delta_{g_F} f$ and the g_F -trace preserves the sign.
- (ii) It is clear that (i) holds while replacing semi-definiteness by definiteness and accordingly, $\geq$ by $>$.
- (iii) Assume now that F is compact and $f: F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth. The so called Bochner's Lemma (see [88, p. 39]) says: if $\Delta_{g_F} f \geq 0$, then f is constant. Thus, it just follows from (ii) that f is constant if $Q_{g_F}^f$ is positive semi-definite.

Furthermore, if $Q_{g_F}^f$ is negative semi-definite, then $Q_{g_F}^{-f} = -Q_{g_F}^f$ is positive semi-definite, so $-f$ (and obviously f) is constant.

Remark 2.9. Notice that applying (2.3), the $(0, 2)$ -tensor $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f$ defined in (2.2) takes the form

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f := -f \operatorname{Ric}_{g_F} - Q_{g_F}^f.$$

This tensor is strongly associated to the scalar curvature map between Banach manifolds that apply to each metric on a smooth manifold (eventually, to a sub-domain) the corresponding scalar curvature. Indeed, if g_F is a suitable Riemannian metric on such a manifold and f is a sufficiently regular function on the manifold, $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f$ is the L^2 -formal adjoint of the linearized scalar curvature operator. There is a large literature about these operators, for instance [63, 33, 66, 34, 35, 16, 50, 51, 49, 55, 67, 24, 45, 57, 46, 25, 56] and the many references therein.

The kernel of the operator (2.7) will play an important role in our discussion about the dominant energy condition in the following section.

3. ENERGY CONDITIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

There are several natural energy conditions considered in general relativity and cosmology questions (see [11, 37, 43, 69, 86, 87] among others). They are related for instance with: space-time singularities (see [84]), existence of conjugate points (see [15]), splitting theorems and existence of time-like lines (see [32]), Lorentzian wormholes (see [86]), higher dimensional black-holes (see [18, 31, 38]), spacelike foliations (see [68]), dS/CFT and space-time topology (see [5]) among many other topics.

In the first subsection we will introduce some energy conditions on generic space-times, while in the second subsection we will state some of these for a standard static space-time of the form $M = I_f \times F$ with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$ in terms of the tensors $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f$ and $Q_{g_F}^f$ and analyze possible consequences of these conditions.

3.1. Definitions, Generalities and more. In this subsection, let us consider a space-time (N, g_N) of dimension $n \geq 3$.

Assuming the conventions in [2, 36, 58]; the space-time (N, g_N) is said to satisfy the *strong energy condition* (respectively, *reverse strong energy condition*), briefly SEC (respectively, RSEC), if $\text{Ric}(x, x) \geq 0$ (respectively, $\text{Ric}(x, x) \leq 0$) for all causal tangent vectors x .

Furthermore (N, g_N) is said to satisfy the *time-like* (respectively *null*, *space-like*) *convergence condition*, briefly TCC (respectively NCC, SCC), if $\text{Ric}(x, x) \geq 0$ for all time-like (respectively null, space-like) tangent vectors x . The corresponding *reverses* (i.e., $\text{Ric}(x, x) \leq 0$) will be denoted as above by RTCC, RNCC and RSCC, respectively.

Notice that the SEC implies the NCC. Furthermore the TCC is equivalent to the SEC, by continuity. The actual difference between TCC and SEC follows from the fact that while TCC is just a geometric condition imposed on the Ricci tensor, SEC is a condition on the stress-energy tensor. They can be considered equivalent due to the Einstein equation (see (3.1) and the discussion about the SEC definition adopted by us and those in the sense of Hawking and Ellis).⁵

Moreover, a space-time is said to satisfy the *weak energy condition*, briefly WEC, if $T(x, x) \geq 0$ for all time-like vectors, where T is the

⁵One can refer [11, p. 434] for further interesting comments about the different definitions and conventions about these energy and convergence conditions.

energy-momentum tensor, which is determined by physical considerations.

In this article, when we consider the energy-momentum tensor, we assume that Einstein's equation holds (see [43, 69]). More explicitly,

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Ric} - \frac{1}{2}\tau g_N = 8\pi T.$$

Notice that in particular, (3.1) gives the explicit form of the energy-momentum tensor T .

The WEC has many applications in general relativity theory such as nonexistence of closed time-like curve (see [21]) and the problem of causality violation ([70]). But its fundamental usage still lies in Penrose's Singularity theorem (see [71]).

Notice that the notion of SEC considered in our study is not the same one as stated in [43, p. 95] (see also [11, p. 434], [8]). Indeed for Hawking and Ellis, (N, g_N) verifies the strong energy condition (briefly HE-SEC) if and only if

$$(3.2) \quad T(x, x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{tr } T g_N(x, x),$$

for all time-like tangent vectors x .

On the other hand, by (3.1) is

$$\frac{2-n}{2}\tau = 8\pi \text{tr } T.$$

Thus

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Ric} = 8\pi \left[T - \frac{1}{n-2} \text{tr } T g_N \right].$$

So the HE-SEC is equivalent to the SEC, if and only if $n = 4$. Furthermore,

- if $n > 4$ and $\tau \geq 0$, HE-SEC implies SEC
- if $n > 4$ and $\tau \leq 0$, SEC implies HE-SEC
- if $n \geq 3$ and $\tau = 0$, then SEC, HE-SEC and WEC are equivalent.

At this point we immediately observe that neither SEC nor HE-SEC implies WEC (see [86, p. 117] for dimension 4). However,

- SEC + “ $\tau \geq 0$ ” implies WEC
- WEC + “ $\tau \leq 0$ ” implies SEC

Consequently,

- if $\tau = 0$, SEC is equivalent to WEC.

Other important energy condition is the so called *dominant energy condition* (briefly DEC), namely: $\text{WEC} + “T^{ij}x_j$ is causal for all time-like vectors $x”$. It is clear that DEC implies WEC. This condition is extremely relevant, for instance in the recent studies of higher dimensional black holes [18, 31, 38] (see also [19]).

An equivalent formulation of the DEC is (see [38, 41, 5]): “ $T(x, y) \geq 0$ for all future pointing causal vectors x and y ”.

3.2. Some implications on standard static space-times. Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$. Thus,

$$(3.4) \quad g(\partial_t, \partial_t) = -f^2 < 0$$

i.e., ∂_t is time-like and by Proposition 2.3,

$$(3.5) \quad \text{Ric}(\partial_t, \partial_t) = f \Delta_{g_F} f.$$

So we can easily state the following.

Theorem 3.1. [2] *Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$. If the space-time (M, g) satisfies the SEC (or equivalently, the TCC), then f is subharmonic, i.e., $\Delta_{g_F} f \geq 0$.*

In particular, we have the followings:

Corollary 3.2. *Let (F, g_F) be admitting no nonconstant subharmonic positive function. Then, the SEC is verified by no standard static space-time with “natural space (F, g_F) and nonconstant warping function”.*

Corollary 3.3. *Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$ satisfying the SEC (or equivalently, the TCC). If (F, g_F) is compact, then f is a positive constant.*

Proof. It is sufficient to apply Theorem 3.1 and the Bochner Lemma (see Remark 2.8). $\square$

Several sufficient conditions are known for nonexistence of a nonconstant nonnegative subharmonic function on a complete Riemannian manifold. Thus, again by Theorem 3.1, it is possible to obtain a family of results in the cases where the natural space is a complete but non-compact Riemannian manifold. Here, we will mention some of them based on results of P. Li, R. Schoen and Shing Tung Yau (see [60, 61] and references therein) ⁶.

⁶From now on $L^p(F)$ denotes the usual norm space of p -integrable functions on a manifold F , where p is a real number.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$ satisfying the SEC (or equivalently, the TCC), where (F, g_F) is a noncompact complete Riemannian manifold. Suppose that $x_0 \in F$ is a fixed point and ρ denotes the distance function to x_0 in (F, g_F) . Then the warping function f is constant and (F, g_F) has finite volume if at least one of the following conditions is verified:*

- (1) $f \in L^p(F)$ for some $p > 1$.
- (2) $f \in L^1(F)$ and there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that the Ricci curvature of (F, g_F) satisfies $\text{Ric}_{g_F|x} \geq -C(1 + \rho^2(x))(\log(1 + \rho^2(x)))^{-\alpha}$.
- (3) $f \in L^p(F)$ for some $0 < p < 1$ and there exists a constant $\delta(s) > 0$ depending only on s , such that the Ricci curvature of (F, g_F) satisfies $\text{Ric}_{g_F|x} \geq -\delta(s)\rho^{-2}(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.
- (4) $f \in L^1(F)$ and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the Ricci curvature of (F, g_F) satisfies $\text{Ric}_{g_F|x} \geq -C(1 + \rho^2(x))$.

Proof. Notice that f is positive and *Theorem 3.1* says that f is subharmonic. Thus, we have the following reasonings.

- (1) It is sufficient to apply [60, Theorem 12.1] (see also [89]).
- (2) It is sufficient to apply [60, Theorem 12.2] (see also [62]).
- (3) It is sufficient to apply [60, Theorem 12.3] (see also [62]).
- (4) It is sufficient to apply [60, Theorem 12.4] (see also [59]).

□

In order to state the next corollary, we recall that a complete Riemannian manifold is said to be a Cartan-Hadamard manifold if it is simply connected and has nonpositive sectional curvature (see [61, p. 128]).

Corollary 3.5. *Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$ satisfying the SEC (or equivalently, the TCC), where (F, g_F) is a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold. Assume that (F, g_F) satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- (1) (F, g_F) is a Cartan-Hadamard manifold.
- (2) (F, g_F) has Ricci curvature bounded from below and the volume of every unit geodesic ball is uniformly bounded from below.

Then, the warping function $f \in L^p(F)$ for some $0 < p \leq 1$ only if f is constant.

Proof. As above, f is positive, so *Theorem 3.1* says that f is subharmonic. Hence, it is sufficient to apply [60, Theorem 12.5] (see also [62]). □

Corollary 3.6. *Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$ satisfying the SEC (or equivalently, the TCC), where (F, g_F) is a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold. Thus, if the L^p -norm of the warping function f satisfies*

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{B_x(r)} f^p = o(r^2),$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for some fixed point $x \in F$, then the warping function f is constant and

$$(3.7) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-2} V_x(r) = 0,$$

where $B_x(r)$ is a geodesic ball in (F, g_F) centered at x of radius r and its volume is given by $V_x(r)$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Corollary 3.5, the positivity of f and Theorem 3.1 imply that f is sub-harmonic. Thus, it is sufficient to apply [60, Proposition 12.6] (see also [89]). $\square$

Now, we state necessary conditions for a standard static space-time to satisfy the NCC and other conditions of the curvature Ricci tensor as in the assumptions of Theorems 5.1 and 5.8 in [64] (for a detailed discussion about the energy conditions see for instance [43, Section 4.3]). These are analog to the more accurate in [30, Proposition 4.2] for the Generalized Robertson-Walker space-time.

Simple consequences of (2.1), Notation 2.5 and Remark 2.8 are the following results (see also [2, Theorems 3.3 and 3.6]):

Theorem 3.7. *Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$, where $s = \dim F \geq 2$.*

- (1) $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f$ is negative (respectively, positive) semi-definite if and only if M satisfies the NCC (respectively, RNCC).
- (2) If Ric_{g_F} and $\mathcal{Q}_{g_F}^f$ are positive semi-definite, then M satisfies the TCC and the NCC.
- (3) If Ric_{g_F} and $\mathcal{Q}_{g_F}^f$ are negative semi-definite, then $\text{Ric}(w, w) \leq 0$ for any causal vector $w \in TM$, i.e., M satisfies the RNCC and the RTCC.
- (4) If (F, g_F) is Ricci flat, then $\mathcal{Q}_{g_F}^f$ is positive semi-definite if and only if M satisfies the NCC.

Remark 3.8. In order to obtain (1) in Theorem 3.7, it is useful to note that for any $v \in TF$, the vector field $r f^{-1} \sqrt{g_F(v, v)} \partial_t + v \in TM$ is causal iff $|r| \geq 1$. Moreover the vector field on M is null iff $|r| = 1$.

Now, we will deal with the energy conditions in terms of the energy momentum-tensor (see [86] for other results in this direction). Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$. Recall that we assume the validity of the Einstein's equation (3.1). On the other hand, tangent vectors $w \in T(I_f \times F)$ can be decomposed into $w = u + v$ with $u \in TI$ and $v \in TF$. It is easy to obtain from (2.1) and Proposition 2.4 that for any $U_1, U_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(I)$ and $V_1, V_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(F)$

(3.8)

$$\begin{aligned} 8\pi T(U_1 + V_1, U_2 + V_2) &= -\frac{1}{f} \mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f(V_1, V_2) - \frac{1}{2} \tau_{g_F} g(U_1 + V_1, U_2 + V_2) \\ &= \text{Ric}_{g_F}(V_1, V_2) + \frac{1}{f} Q_{g_F}^f(V_1, V_2) - \frac{1}{2} \tau_{g_F} g(U_1 + V_1, U_2 + V_2). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for any $U \in \mathfrak{X}(I)$ and $V \in \mathfrak{X}(F)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 8\pi T(U + V, U + V) &= -\frac{1}{f} \mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f(V, V) - \frac{1}{2} \tau_{g_F} g(U + V, U + V) \\ (3.9) \quad &= \text{Ric}_{g_F}(V) + \frac{1}{f} Q_{g_F}^f(V) - \frac{1}{2} \tau_{g_F} g(U + V, U + V). \end{aligned}$$

So, by (3.4) results:

Corollary 3.9. *Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$. If the space-time (M, g) satisfies the WEC, then $\tau_{g_F} \geq 0$.*

Corollary 3.10. *Suppose that (F, g_F) is a Riemannian manifold admitting at least one point at which the scalar curvature is negative. Then, the WEC condition cannot be verified by any standard static space-time with natural space (F, g_F) .*

Recalling that on a Riemannian manifold (F, g_F) of dimension s the scalar curvature $\tau_{g_F}(p) := \sum_{j=1}^s \text{Ric}_{g_F p}(e_j, e_j)$, where $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^s$ is an arbitrary orthonormal basis for the tangent space $T_p F$ (see for instance [13]) and applying (3.9), we obtain the following couple of results when $\dim F \geq 2$.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$.*

- (1) *If Ric_{g_F} and $Q_{g_F}^f$ are positive (respectively, negative) semi-definite, then $T(w, w) \geq 0$ (respectively, ≤ 0) for any causal vector $w \in TM$.*
- (2) *If (F, g_F) is Ricci flat, then for any $u \in TI$ and $v \in TF$, we have $8\pi T(u + v, u + v) = Q_{g_F}^f(v)$. Thus, $Q_{g_F}^f$ is positive semi-definite if and only if $T(w, w) \geq 0$ for any vector $w \in TM$.*

Theorem 3.12. *Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$.*

- (1) *If $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f$ is negative (respectively, positive) semi-definite and τ_{g_F} is nonnegative (respectively, nonpositive), then $T(w, w) \geq 0$ (respectively, ≤ 0) for any causal vector $w \in TM$.*
- (2) *If $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f \equiv 0$, then $8\pi T(w, w) = -\frac{1}{2}\tau_{g_F}g(w, w)$ for any $w \in TM$. Thus, $T(w, w) \geq 0$ for any causal vector $w \in TM$ if and only if $\tau_{g_F} \geq 0$.*

Remark 3.13. There have been strong and intense studies about the topological significance of the scalar curvature in Riemannian manifolds (see for instance among many others [6, 14, 47, 48]) since 1965s. In particular, Kazdan and Warner classified the compact connected manifolds of dimension ≥ 3 in three groups [48]:

- (1) Those N that admit a metric h with scalar curvature $S_h \geq 0$ (non identically 0).
Thus any function is the scalar curvature of some Riemannian metric.
- (2) Those N that admit no metric with positive scalar curvature, but do have a metric with $h \equiv 0$.
So a function is the scalar curvature of some Riemannian metric if and only if is negative somewhere or is identically 0.
- (3) The other manifolds, so for any metric h , the scalar metric S_h is negative somewhere.
Thus a function is scalar curvature of some Riemannian metric if and only if is negative somewhere.

Combining this result with the above *Corollaries 3.9* and *3.10*, we obtain that the compact manifolds of the third Kazdan-Warner type cannot be the natural Riemannian part of any standard static space-time verifying the WEC.

Hence, for instance $(I \times (T^m \sharp T^m), -dt^2 + h)$, where h is a Riemannian metric on the connected sum of two torus T^m of dimension $m \geq 3$, never verifies the WEC. Indeed, $T^m \sharp T^m$ belongs to the third Kazdan-Warner type (see [14]).

We observe that topological obstructions for the problem of prescribed scalar curvature of a Riemannian manifold does not exist for noncompact and connected manifold, but the situation changes if the completeness of the Riemannian manifold is required (see [14]). Hence it would be interesting to combine these results with the WEC.

Remark 3.14. Since the DEC implies the WEC, it is clear by *Corollary 3.9* that $\tau_{g_F} \geq 0$ is necessary for the DEC.

Furthermore, recalling that two causal tangent vectors w_1, w_2 belong to the same time-cone iff $g(w_1, w_2) \leq 0$ (see [69, p. 143]) and applying (3.8) it is easy to prove that:

Theorem 3.15. *Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$.*

- (1) *If $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f \equiv 0$, then $T = -\frac{1}{2}\tau_{g_F}g$. Thus $T(w_1, w_2) \geq 0$ for all causal vectors $w_1, w_2 \in T(I_f \times F)$ in the same time-cone if and only if $\tau_{g_F} \geq 0$.*
- (2) *If (F, g_F) is Ricci flat, then for any $u_1, u_2 \in TI$ and $v_1, v_2 \in TF$, we have $8\pi T(u_1 + v_1, u_2 + v_2) = \frac{1}{f}Q_{g_F}^f(v_1, v_2)$. Thus, $T(w_1, w_2) \geq 0$ for any causal vectors $w_1, w_2 \in TM$ in the same time-cone iff $Q_{g_F}^f \equiv 0$ iff $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f \equiv 0$.*

Remark 3.16. To prove (2) in Theorem 3.15, it is useful to note that for any $v_1 \in TF$, the vector given by $w_1 := r_1 f^{-1} \sqrt{g_F(v_1, v_1)} \partial_t + v_1 \in TM$ is causal iff $|r| \geq 1$. Moreover, for any $v_2 \in TF$, there exists $r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $w_2 := r_2 f^{-1} \sqrt{g_F(v_1, v_1)} \partial_t + v_2 \in TM$ is causal (as for v_1 it is sufficient to take $|r_2| \geq 1$) and $g(w_1, w_2) = -r_1 r_2 \sqrt{g_F(v_1, v_1)} \sqrt{g_F(v_2, v_2)} + g_F(v_1, v_2) \leq 0$, i.e., w_2 belongs to the same time-cone as w_1 does. Indeed, it is sufficient to take r_2 such that $r_1 r_2 \geq \cos_{g_F} \widehat{v_1 v_2}$.

Corollary 3.17. *Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$. If $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f \equiv 0$ and $\tau_{g_F} \geq 0$, then the DEC is satisfied.*

Remark 3.18. Notice that by the polarization formula for a bilinear form,

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f \equiv 0$$

is equivalent to

$$(3.11) \quad \mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f \equiv 0.$$

So the hypothesis in Theorems 3.12, 3.15 and Corollary 3.17 are closely connected to the study of the kernel of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^*$ on suitable Banach spaces. The latter question was studied by several authors, see the references in Remark 2.9.

In particular, if f is a solution of (3.10), then

$$(3.12) \quad \text{tr}_{g_F} \mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f := -(s-1)\Delta_{g_F} f - \tau_{g_F} f = 0.$$

Thus (3.10) takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f = -f \operatorname{Ric}_{g_F} + \frac{\tau_{g_F}}{s-1} f g_F + H_{g_F}^f = 0.$$

In [34, p. 228-230] and [16, p. 38-39] the authors proved that if (F, g_F) is compact and $f \not\equiv 0$ is a solution of (3.10), then the scalar curvature τ_{g_F} is constant (see [24] also). So, by (3.12) and the well known results about the spectrum of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a *compact Riemannian manifold without boundary* (see [13]), there results:

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{if } (F, g_F) \text{ is compact and } f \in C_{>0}^\infty(F), \text{ then } f \text{ verifies (3.10)} \\ & \text{if and only if } f \text{ is constant and } (F, g_F) \text{ is Ricci flat.} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, in [24, Proposition 2.7] the author proved that (see also [26]):

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & f \not\equiv 0 \text{ satisfies (3.10) if and only if the warped} \\ & \text{product metric } -f^2 dt^2 + g_F \text{ is Einstein,} \end{aligned}$$

which is also easy to conclude from (2.1).

We remark also the importance of equation (3.10) in the study of static Killing Initial Data (briefly *static KIDs*) in the recent articles of R. Beig, P. T. Chruściel, R. Schoen, D. Pollack and F. Pacard [12, 22, 23].

Now, under additional hypothesis about the sign of the Ricci curvature, we give a “partial” extension of the Bourguignon/Fischer-Marsden result mentioned above ([34, p. 228-230] and [16]) to the case where the involved manifold is complete but noncompact. Notice that the next three statements are supported by the Liouville type results of P. Li and S.-T. Yau on complete but noncompact Riemannian manifolds.

Theorem 3.19. *Let (F, g_F) be a complete Riemannian manifold without boundary where $\dim F \geq 2$. Suppose that the Ricci curvature of (F, g_F) is nonnegative. Given $f \in C_{>0}^\infty(F)$, f is a solution of (3.10) if and only if f is constant and (F, g_F) is Ricci-flat.*

Proof. This is a consequence of (3.14) and [26, Corollary 4.9]. $\square$

Corollary 3.20. *Let (F, g_F) be a complete Riemannian manifold without boundary where $\dim F \geq 2$. Suppose that (F, g_F) is not Ricci-flat. Then (3.10) admits a nonconstant strictly positive solution only if the Ricci curvature of (F, g_F) is negative somewhere.*

Corollary 3.21. *Let (F, g_F) be a complete Riemannian manifold without boundary of $\dim F \geq 2$ which is “either compact or complete with nonnegative Ricci curvature” and also let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$, where $f \in C_{>0}^\infty(F)$. Then, the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) *the energy-momentum tensor of M and τ_{g_F} are identically zero,*
- (2) *f is a solution of (3.10),*
- (3) *f is a constant and M is Ricci-flat,*
- (4) *$\text{Ric}_{g_F} \equiv 0$ and $Q_{g_F}^f \equiv 0$,*
- (5) *f is a constant and $\text{Ric}_{g_F} \equiv 0$,*

where Ric_{g_F} , $Q_{g_F}^f$ and τ_{g_F} are as above. In such cases, DEC (and consequently WEC) is trivially verified, indeed the energy-momentum tensor of M results identically zero.

Proof. This is a consequence of (3.13), Theorem 3.19, (3.8) and (2.1). $\square$

4. CONSEQUENCES AND EXAMPLES

In this section, unless otherwise stated, we will assume $\dim F = s \geq 2$.

4.1. Conformal Hyperbolicity. Before we state our main results, we briefly recall the definition the Lorentzian pseudo-distance on a Lorentzian manifold (M, g_M) due to Markowitz and then recall some of its elementary properties (see [64] for further details).

Consider the open interval $(-1, 1)$ furnished with the Poincaré metric

$$dr_{(-1,1)}^2 = \frac{du^2}{(1-u^2)^2}.$$

So the Poincaré distance between two points $u_0, u_1 \in (-1, 1)$ can be expressed as

$$\rho(u_0, u_1) = \frac{1}{2} \left| \log \left(\frac{1+u_1}{1-u_1} \frac{1-u_0}{1+u_0} \right) \right|.$$

Suppose γ is a null pre-geodesic in (M, g_M) , i.e., $g(\gamma', \gamma') = 0$ and $\gamma'' = \varphi \gamma'$, for some function φ . Then there is a parameter, called the affine parameter, r for which the null pre-geodesic becomes a null geodesic. Indeed, r is the solution of the following ordinary differential equation $\varphi = r''/r$. In this case, a projective parameter p is defined to be a solution of

$$\{p; r\} := \frac{1}{2} \frac{p'''}{p'} - \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{p''}{p'} \right)^2 = -\frac{1}{n-2} \text{Ric}_M(\gamma'(r), \gamma'(r)),$$

where r is an affine parameter for a null pre-geodesic of the form given above, $\{p; r\}$ is the so called Schwarzian derivative of p respect to r (see [78]) and Ric_M is the Ricci tensor of the Lorentzian manifold (M, g_M) . The parameter r is independent of the affine parameter along γ .

A chain of null geodesic segments joining p to q is

- a sequence of points $p = p_0, p_1, \dots, p_k = q$ in M ,
- pairs of points $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_k, b_k)$ in $(-1, 1)$ and
- projective maps (i.e., a projective map is simply a null geodesics with the projective parameter as the natural parameter) $f_1, \dots, f_k$ from $(-1, 1)$ into M such that $f_i(a_i) = p_{i-1}$ and $f_i(b_i) = p_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

The length of such a chain is defined as $L(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^k \rho(a_i, b_i)$.

By combining all the ingredients defined above, Markowitz defines his version of intrinsic Lorentzian pseudo-distance $d_M: M \times M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as

$$d_M(p, q) := \inf_{\alpha} L(\alpha),$$

where the infimum is taken over all the chains of null geodesic segments α joining p to q . Note that d_M is indeed a pseudo-distance. The Lorentzian manifold (M, g_M) is called *conformally hyperbolic* when the Lorentzian pseudo-distance d_M is a true distance.

We now reproduce the statements of three theorems from [64] which will be useful later.

[64, THEOREM 5.1] Let (M, g) be a null geodesically complete Lorentz manifold. If (M, g) satisfies the curvature condition $\text{Ric}_M(x, x) \leq 0$ for all null vectors x , then it has a trivial Lorentzian pseudo-distance, i.e., $d_M \equiv 0$.

[64, THEOREM 5.8] Let (M, g) be an $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional Lorentzian manifold. If (M, g) satisfies the NCC and the null generic condition, briefly NGC, (i.e., $\text{Ric}(\gamma', \gamma') \neq 0$, for at least one point of each inextendible null geodesic γ) then, it is conformally hyperbolic.

[64, THEOREM 7.1] The group of conformal automorphisms of a conformally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifold (M, g) has a compact isotropy group at each point p .

We will recall some examples in [64].

- Complete Einstein space-times (in particular, Minkowski, de Sitter and the anti-de Sitter space-times) have trivial Lorentzian pseudo-distances because of Theorem 5.1 of [64].

- The Einstein static universe has also trivial Lorentzian pseudo-distance since the space-times in the previous item can be conformally imbedded in the Einstein static universe.
- A Robertson-Walker space-time (i.e., an isotropic homogeneous space-time) is conformally hyperbolic due to [64, Theorem 5.9].
- The Einstein-de Sitter space M is conformally hyperbolic and for the null separated points p and q , the Lorentzian pseudo-distance is given by

$$d_M(p, q) = \frac{5}{4} \log r(p, q),$$

where $r(p, q)$ denotes cosmological frequency ratio (see [65] for the explicit computation). The conformal distance between two causally related events in the Einstein-de Sitter space is given as

$$d_M(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left| \log \frac{\tau(x)}{\tau(y)} \right|,$$

where $M = (0, \infty) \times_{t^{2/3}} \mathbb{R}^3$ with $g = -dt^2 \oplus t^{4/3} d\sigma^2$ and $\tau = 3t^{1/3}$ (see [65, Theorem 5]).

By applying Theorem 3.7 and the previously restated results of Markowitz, we obtain the theorems that follow.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $M = \mathbb{R}_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$. Suppose that $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f$ is positive semi-definite (this condition is satisfied for example, if Ric_{g_F} and $\mathcal{Q}_{g_F}^f$ are negative semi-definite). If at least one of the following conditions is verified*

- (1) (F, g_F) is compact,
- (2) (F, g_F) is complete and $0 < \inf f$,

then the Lorentzian pseudo-distance d_M on the standard static space-time (M, g) is trivial, i.e., $d_M \equiv 0$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.7 and (2.1), the hypothesis for $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f$ being positive semi-definite implies the RNCC. In both cases the null geodesic completeness of the underlying standard static space-times is a consequence of [3, Theorem 3.12]. So, by [64, Theorem 5.1], $\mathbb{R}_f \times F$ has a trivial Lorentzian pseudo-distance, i.e., $d_M \equiv 0$. $\square$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$. Then, (M, g) is conformally hyperbolic if at least one of the following properties is satisfied:*

- (1) $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f$ is negative semi-definite and the NGC is verified,
- (2) Ric_{g_F} is positive semi-definite and $\mathcal{Q}_{g_F}^f$ is positive definite.

Proof. As above, *Theorem 3.7* and (2.1), together with the negative semi-definiteness of $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f$ or positive semi-definiteness of “ Ric_{g_F} and $\mathcal{Q}_{g_F}^f$ ” imply the NCC. In (2), the positive definiteness of $\mathcal{Q}_{g_F}^f$ infers the NGC. Hence, in both cases all the assumptions of [64, Theorem 5.8] are verified and $\mathbb{R}_f \times F$ results conformally hyperbolic. $\square$

4.2. Conjugate Points. We now state some results relating the conformal hyperbolicity and causal conjugate points of a standard static space-time by using [7, 9, 10, 20] and also [11]. In [20, Theorem 2.3], it was shown that if the line integral of the Ricci tensor along a complete causal geodesic in a Lorentzian manifold is positive, then the complete causal geodesic contains a pair of conjugate points.

Assume that $\gamma = (\alpha, \beta)$ is a complete causal geodesic in a standard static space-time of the form $M = I_f \times F$ with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$. Then by using $g(\gamma', \gamma') \leq 0$ and (2.1) we have,

$$\text{Ric}(\gamma', \gamma') = -\frac{1}{f} \mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f(\beta', \beta') - \underbrace{g(\gamma', \gamma')}_{\leq 0} \frac{1}{f} \Delta_{g_F} f.$$

We can easily state the following existence result for conjugate points of complete causal geodesics in a conformally hyperbolic standard static space-time by *Theorem 4.2* and [20, Theorem 2.3].

Corollary 4.3. *Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$. Then, (M, g) is conformally hyperbolic and any complete causal geodesic in (M, g) has a pair of conjugate points, if at least one of the following properties is satisfied:*

- (1) $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f$ is negative definite, f is subharmonic and the NGC is verified,
- (2) Ric_{g_F} is positive semi-definite and $\mathcal{Q}_{g_F}^f$ is positive definite.

We will give an existence result for conjugate points of time-like geodesics in a standard static space-time, which will also be conformally hyperbolic because of *Theorem 4.2* if one replaces the positive semi-definiteness of $\mathcal{Q}_{g_F}^f$ by the positive definiteness.

In the next corollary, $\mathbf{L}$ denotes the usual time-like Lorentzian length and $\text{diam}_{\mathbf{L}}$ denotes the corresponding time-like diameter (see [11, Chapters 4 and 11]).

Corollary 4.4. *Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$. Suppose that Ric_{g_F} and $\mathcal{Q}_{g_F}^f$ are positive semi-definite. If there exists a constant c such that $\frac{1}{f} \Delta_{g_F} f \geq c > 0$, then*

- (1) any time-like geodesic $\gamma: [r_1, r_2] \rightarrow M$ in (M, g) with $\mathbf{L}(\gamma) \geq \pi\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{c}}$ has a pair of conjugate points,
- (2) for any time-like geodesic $\gamma: [r_1, r_2] \rightarrow M$ in (M, g) with $\mathbf{L}(\gamma) > \pi\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{c}}$, $r = r_1$ is conjugate along γ to some $r_0 \in (r_1, r_2)$, and consequently γ is not maximal,
- (3) if $I = \mathbb{R}$, (F, g_F) is complete and $\sup f < \infty$, then

$$\text{diam}_{\mathbf{L}}(M, g) \leq \pi\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{c}}.$$

Proof. First of all we observe that (2.1) implies

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Ric}(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) \geq \frac{\Delta_{g_F} f}{f} \geq c > 0,$$

for any unit time-like tangent vector $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$ on M , where $\mathbf{u} \in TI$ and $\mathbf{v} \in TF$.

- (1) It is an immediate consequence of (4.1) and [11, Proposition 11.7].
- (2) It is an immediate consequence of (4.1) and [11, Proposition 11.8].
- (3) In [1, Corollary 3.17] the author proves “if (F, g_F) is a complete Riemannian manifold and $\sup f < \infty$, then $\mathbb{R}_f \times F$ is globally hyperbolic”. So by applying (4.1) and [11, Theorem 11.9], (3) is obtained.

□

Remark 4.5.

- (i) Notice that Corollary 4.4 holds if the positive semi-definiteness of $\mathcal{R}ic_{g_F}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{g_F}^f$ is replaced by the negative semi-definiteness of $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f$.
- (ii) On the other hand, by using [64, THEOREM 7.1], one can also deduce that the group of conformal automorphisms of the underlying standard static space-time has a compact isotropy group at each point p when the hypothesis in Theorem 4.2 or Corollary 4.3 is verified.

Remark 4.6. We observe that in Theorems 3.7 and 4.2 and Corollaries 4.3 and 4.4, when the semi-definite positiveness of $\mathcal{Q}_{g_F}^f$ is considered, necessarily $\Delta_{g_F} f \geq 0$ (i.e., the function f is sub-harmonic) if $\dim F \geq 2$ (see Remark 2.8). Consequently, in all these statements, if the Riemannian manifold (F, g_F) is compact of dimension at least 2, then f turns out to be a positive constant (see Remark 2.8).

Thus, the case of a noncompact Riemannian manifold (F, g_F) is particularly relevant. In order to show that the considered assumptions are nonempty (see the discussion about the existence sub-harmonic on complete Riemannian manifolds in *Subsection 3.2*), we will provide the following simple example:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{R}^s \text{ with the usual Euclidean metric } g_0 \\ M = I \times \Omega, \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^s \text{ open in } \mathbb{R}^s \text{ with the induced metric } g_0 \\ f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; f(x) &= \frac{1}{2}|x|_{g_0}^2 + \epsilon > 0, \epsilon > 0 \\ g &= -f^2 dt^2 + g_0 \\ H_\Omega^f &= g_0 \\ \Delta_\Omega f &\equiv s > 0 \\ \text{Ric}_\Omega &\equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the assumptions of *Theorems 3.7* and *4.2* and *Corollary 4.3* are verified. Indeed,

- (i) For any $x \in \Omega$, the quadratic form $-\mathcal{L}_{g_0}^* f = \mathcal{Q}_\Omega^f = (s-1)|\cdot|_{g_0}^2$ is positive definite on $T_x \Omega = \mathbb{R}^s$.
- (ii) $\text{Ric}_\Omega \equiv 0$.

If we suppose that Ω is bounded, then the assumptions of *Corollary 4.4* are verified with an exception of item 3 (i.e., the completeness of (F, g_F)). In this case, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{f} \Delta_\Omega f = \frac{s}{\frac{1}{2}|x|^2 + \epsilon} \geq c > 0.$$

4.3. Some special examples. Now, we will concentrate our attention on some special families of metrics that allow an easier control of the sign of $\mathcal{Q}_{g_F}^f$. For these metrics, there is a strong relation between the Riemannian metric g_F and the function $f \in C_{>0}^\infty(F)$.

“*Concircular scalar fields*”. We follow the terminology used in [83], i.e., we will call a nonconstant scalar field u on F as a *concircular scalar field* if it satisfies the equation

$$(4.2) \quad H_{g_F}^u = \phi g_F,$$

where ϕ is a scalar field called the *characteristic function* of u .

Notice that in this situation, taking the g_F -trace, we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \Delta_{g_F} u = \phi s$$

and as a consequence,

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{g_F}^u = (s-1)\phi g_F.$$

Thus, being $\dim F \geq 2$, the sign of $\mathcal{Q}_{g_F}^u$ is determined by $\text{sign } \phi$.

We observe that equation (4.3) admits a nonconstant solution with a smooth function ϕ of constant sign only if the Riemannian manifold (F, g_F) is noncompact. Thus, there exists no concircular scalar field with a characteristic function of constant sign on a compact Riemannian manifold.

Thus, applying *Theorem 4.1* and *Theorem 4.2*, respectively, we state the results that follow.

Corollary 4.7. *Let (F, g_F) be such that Ric_{g_F} is negative semi-definite. Suppose that (F, g_F) admits a positive concircular scalar field u with a nonpositive characteristic function ϕ . If (F, g_F) is complete and $0 < \inf u$, then the Lorentzian pseudo-distance d_M on the standard static space-time $M = I_u \times F$ with the metric $g = -u^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$ is trivial, i.e., $d_M \equiv 0$.*

Corollary 4.8. *Let (F, g_F) be such that Ric_{g_F} is negative semi-definite. If (F, g_F) admits a positive concircular scalar field u with a positive characteristic function ϕ , then the standard static space-time $M = I_u \times F$ with the metric $g = -u^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$ is conformally hyperbolic.*

“*Hessian manifolds*”. This type of manifolds are closely related to the previously defined concircular scalar fields. We begin by recalling the definition of Hessian manifolds (see some recent articles about this kind of manifolds such as [17, 29, 80, 82, 85], and in particular, the recent book by H. Shima [81]).

First of all, we remark a notational matter: we say that a connection D on a manifold N is *S-flat* if its torsion and curvature tensor vanish identically. We also say that a manifold N endowed with an *S-flat* connection D is an *S-flat* manifold and we denote it by (N, D) . Notice that in [81], *S-flat* manifolds are called flat. On the other hand, according to our convention, the concept of flatness only means that the curvature tensor vanishes identically.

A Riemannian metric h on an *S-flat* manifold (N, D) is said to be a locally (respectively, globally) Hessian metric if h is locally (respectively, globally) expressed by the Hessian, i.e., $h = Ddu$, where u is a local (respectively, global) smooth function on N . Such pair (D, h) is called a locally (respectively, globally) Hessian structure on N , and u is said to be a local (respectively, global) potential of (D, h) . A manifold N provided with a locally (respectively, globally) Hessian structure is called a locally (respectively, globally) Hessian manifold and is denoted by (N, D, h) .

If it is necessary, we will say that N is u -globally Hessian to indicate the specific function $u \in C^\infty(N)$ such that $h = Ddu$ on the entire manifold N .

It is clear that a global Hessian manifold is also a local one.

In [81], it is proved that if a Riemannian manifold (N, h) is flat with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇ , then it is also a locally Hessian manifold with the Hessian structure (∇, h) . Notice that for the Levi-Civita connection both concepts, i.e., flatness and S -flatness coincide. Moreover, in [81] several important examples of local and global Hessian structures are provided. Here, we mention more classical and well known one, namely $\mathbb{R}^s$ furnished with the usual canonical flat connection. More explicitly, $\mathbb{R}^s$ is a flat u -globally Hessian manifold where $u(x) = \frac{1}{2}|x|_{g_0}^2$, where g_0 is the canonical Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^s$.

Let (N, h) be a Riemannian manifold with the Levi-Civita connection ∇ . Then, (∇, h) is a globally Hessian structure on N with positive global potential if and only if (N, h) is flat and admits a positive concircular scalar field with characteristic function $\phi \equiv 1$. In this case, the positive concircular scalar field can be taken as the positive global potential, and viceversa. Notice that when we say (∇, h) is a globally Hessian structure on N with positive global potential, this particularly implies that the global potential is nonconstant (since h is a metric). Thus, applying Corollary 4.8 results:

Corollary 4.9. *Let (F, g_F) be a u -globally Hessian manifold with the Hessian structure (∇^{g_F}, g_F) , where the global potential $u \in C_{>0}^\infty(F)$ and ∇^{g_F} denotes the Levi-Civita connection of g_F . Then, the standard static space-time of the form $M = I_u \times F$ with the metric $g = -u^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$ is conformally hyperbolic.*

Remark 4.10. We will note some facts related to the previous statements and examples of this section.

- (i) Let now (D, h) be a locally Hessian structure on a manifold N of dimension s and ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection associated to h . In [82], necessary and sufficient conditions are given to have $\nabla = D$, when N is a compact manifold without boundary. Note that in this case, i.e., $h = Ddu = \nabla du$, we necessarily have $\Delta u = s$. Indeed, it is sufficient to take the h -trace of the both sides.

Furthermore, if the Hessian structure is u -global, then u is a function with Laplacian of constant sign on a compact Riemannian manifold. Hence, u is a constant (see Remark 2.8).

In particular, this shows that the relevant cases for *Corollary 4.9* are those in which (F, g_F) is assumed to be noncompact. Indeed, if it is compact, then the assumptions of the last corollary are not verified.

One simple example of this situation is the Riemannian manifold (i.e., globally Hessian manifold) $(F, g_F) = (\Omega, g_0)$ where Ω is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^s$ considered in *Remark 4.6*.

If we further add the completeness assumption for (F, g_F) to the hypothesis of *Corollary 4.9*, then (F, g_F) becomes an Euclidean space. Indeed, this is a consequence (for instance) of [83, Theorem 2 (I,B)].

- (ii) If (F, g_F) is a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension 1 and $u \in C_{>0}^\infty(F)$ verifying $0 < \inf u$, then the Lorentzian pseudo-distance d_M on a standard static space-time of the form $M = I_u \times F$ with metric $g = -u^2 dt^2 \oplus g_F$ is trivial i.e., $d_M \equiv 0$. Indeed, $\dim F = s = 1$ implies that $Q_{g_F}^u \equiv 0$ (by the definition of $Q_{g_F}^u$), so we can directly apply *Theorem 4.1*.

By means of analogous arguments, the hypothesis stating that “ u is a concircular scalar field” in *Corollary 4.7* turns out to be irrelevant when the dimension of the fiber is 1.

- (iii) By considering the relation (4.4), we can notice that relevant examples for *Corollaries 4.7* and *4.8* correspond to the case of having a Riemannian part of dimension at least 2.

For instance, in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the usual metric $g_0 = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$, the existence of a concircular scalar field, i.e., $H_{\mathbb{R}^n}^u = \phi g_0$, implies that $u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(x_i)$ and hence, $\partial_{ii}\xi_i(x_i) = \partial_{jj}\xi_j(x_j) = \phi(x)$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\} - \{j\}$. Thus, $\phi = \phi_0$ is constant and $\xi_i(x_i) = a_i x_i^2 + b_i x_i + c_i$, where $\phi_0 = 2a_i$ for any i with the coefficients $a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

Finally, we will mention some simple examples where the suppositions of *Corollaries 4.8* and *4.7* are not satisfied. Let $(\mathbb{R}^2, g_0)$ be the usual Euclidean plane and Ω be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then (Ω, g_0) is a Ricci-flat Riemannian manifold. Therefore:

- (1) If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$, then the hypothesis of *Corollary 4.7* cannot be verified. Indeed $(\mathbb{R}^2, g_0)$ is complete, but does not admit a positive concircular scalar field u with a nonpositive characteristic function ϕ such that $0 < \inf u$. This follows from (4.3) and either direct calculus or the classical Liouville nonexistence theorems for nonconstant superharmonic functions bounded from below on $(\mathbb{R}^2, g_0)$ (see [72, 79]).

- (2) If $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0\}$, then the hypothesis of *Corollary 4.8* is verified. For example, take $u(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_1 + x_2 + 1$ as a concircular scalar field with positive characteristic function 2.
- (3) For any Ω , the hypothesis of *Corollary 4.8* is satisfied. For instance, take $u(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 1$ as a concircular scalar field with positive characteristic function 2. Notice that this concircular scalar field is different from the one given in (2).

5. CONCLUSIONS

Our first goal is to obtain a family of necessary and/or sufficient conditions for a set of energy and convergence conditions on standard static space-times. We state a family of results establishing a connection between the strong energy condition and a family of Liouville type results for subharmonic functions on the Riemannian part of a standard static space-time too. We also obtain a set of results about the weak and dominant energy conditions. All these results are based on suitable hypothesis for the definiteness of the quadratic forms associated to the Ricci tensor and the tensors $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^* f$ and $Q_{g_F}^f$ defined in *Section 2*. Similar to the independent studies of Bourguignon, Fischer-Marsden or Lafontaine, we observe the importance of the kernel of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^*$, not only in the study of standard static space-times, but also in Riemannian geometry, more specifically in the study of critical points of the scalar curvature map in any dimension. About this matter, taking into account the sign of the Ricci curvature and applying Liouville type results, we provide a statement about the existence of positive functions in the kernel of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{g_F}^*$ where the involved manifold is complete but noncompact.

The second goal is to apply the previous results together with several results of M. J. Markowitz and D. E. Allison to obtain sufficient conditions for studying the conformal hyperbolicity and the existence of conjugate points on causal geodesics on a standard static space-time.

Finally, we show some examples and results relating the tensor Q_F^f , conformal hyperbolicity, concircular scalar fields and Hessian manifolds.

REFERENCES

- [1] Allison D. E. *Lorentzian warped products and static space-times*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Missouri-Columbia, 1985. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- [2] Allison D.E., *Energy conditions in standard static space-times*, General Relativity and Gravitation, **20**, No. 2, (1988), 115-122. [1](#), [2](#), [3.1](#), [3.1](#), [3.2](#)
- [3] Allison D.E., *Geodesic completeness in static spacetimes*, Geometriae Dedicata **26**, (1988), 85-97. [1](#), [4.1](#)
- [4] Allison D. E. and Ünal B., *Geodesic Structure of Standard Static Space-times*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, **46** (2), (2003), 193-200. [1](#)
- [5] Andersson L. and Galloway G. J., *dS/CFT and spacetime topology*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **6** (2002), 307327. [3](#), [3.1](#)
- [6] T. Aubin, *Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, Berlin, (1998). [2.5](#), [3.13](#)
- [7] Beem J. K., *Lorentzian geometry in the large*, Mathematics of gravitation, Part I (Warsaw, 1996), Banach Center Publ., **41**, Part I, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, (1997), 11-20. [4.2](#)
- [8] Beem J. K. and Ehrlich P., *Conformal deformations, Ricci curvature and energy conditions on globally hyperbolic spacetimes*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **84**, (1978), 159-175. [3.1](#)
- [9] Beem J. K. and Ehrlich P., *Cut points, conjugate points and Lorentzian comparison theorems*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **86** (2), (1979), 365-384. [4.2](#)
- [10] Beem J. K. and Ehrlich P., *Singularities, incompleteness and the Lorentzian distance function*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **85** (1), (1979), 161-178. [4.2](#)
- [11] Beem J. K., Ehrlich P. E. and Easley K. L., *Global Lorentzian Geometry*, (2nd Ed.), Marcel Dekker, New York, 1996. [1](#), [2](#), [2](#), [3](#), [5](#), [3.1](#), [4.2](#), [4.2](#), [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- [12] Beig R., Chruściel P. T. and Schoen R., *KIDs are Non-Generic*, Ann. Henri Poincaré, **6**, (2005), 155-194. [3.18](#)
- [13] Bérard P. H. , *Spectral Geometry: Direct and Inverse Problems*, Lec. Notes in Math. 1207, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1986. [2.5](#), [3.2](#), [3.18](#)
- [14] Bérard Bergery L. , *La courbure scalaire de variété Riemanniennes*, Seminaire N. Bourbaki 556, 1980, 225-245. [2.5](#), [3.13](#), [3.13](#)
- [15] Borde A., *Geodesic focusing, energy conditions and singularities*, Class. Quantum Grav., **4**, (1987), 343-356. [3](#)
- [16] Bourguignon J.-P., *Une stratification de l'espace des structure Riemanniennes*, Compositio Mathematica **30**, no. 1, (1975), 1-41. [2.9](#), [3.18](#), [3.2](#)
- [17] Caffarelli L. A. and Viaclovsky J. A., *On the regularity of solutions to Monge-Ampère equations on Hessian manifolds*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **26**, no. 11-12, (2001), 2339-2351. [4.3](#)
- [18] Cai M. and Galloway G. J., *On the topology and area of higher dimensional black holes*, Class.Quant.Grav. **18** (2001) 2707-2718, arXiv:hep-th/0102149v2. [3](#), [3.1](#)
- [19] Carter B., *Energy dominance and the Hawking-Ellis vacuum conservation theorem*, on "The Future of Theoretical Physics and Cosmology, Celebrating Stephen Hawking's 60th Birthday", Editors: Gibbons G. W., Shellard E. P. S. and Rankin S. J., Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003, p. 177-184, arXiv:gr-qc/0205010v1. [1](#), [3.1](#)

- [20] Chicone C. and Ehrlich P., *Line integration of Ricci curvature and conjugate points in Lorentzian and Riemannian manifolds*, Manuscripta Math., **31** (1-3), (1980), 297-316. [4.2](#)
- [21] Cho Y. M. and Park D. H., *Closed time-like curves and weak energy condition*, Phys. Lett. B, **402**, (1997), 18-24. [3.1](#)
- [22] Chruściel P. T. and Pollack D., *Singular Yamabe metrics and initial data with exactly Kottler-Schwarzschild-de Sitter ends*, arXiv:0710.3365v2 [gr-qc]. [3.18](#)
- [23] Chruściel P. T., Pacard F. and Pollack D., *Singular Yamabe metrics and initial data with exactly Kottler-Schwarzschild-de Sitter ends II*, arXiv:0803.1817v1 [gr-qc]. [3.18](#)
- [24] Corvino J., *Scalar Curvature Deformation and Gluing Construction for the Einstein Constraint Equations*, Com. Math. Phys. **214**, (2000), 137-189. [2.9](#), [3.18](#), [3.18](#)
- [25] Corvino J. and Schoen R. M., *On the Asymptotics for the Vacuum Einstein Constraint Equations*, J. Diff. Geom. **73**, (2006), 185-217. [2.9](#)
- [26] Dobarro F. and Ünal B., *Special standard static spacetimes*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, **59** (5), (2004), 759-770. [1](#), [2](#), [2](#), [3.18](#), [3.2](#)
- [27] Dobarro F. and Ünal B., *Curvature of Multiply Warped Products*, J. Geom. Phys., **55** (1), (2005), 75-106. [2](#)
- [28] Dobarro F. and Ünal B., *Hessian Tensor and Standard Static Space-times*, *Advances in Lorentzian Geometry* - M. Plaue and M. Scherfner (eds.) - Shaker Verlag, Germany, 2008.
<http://www.shaker.eu/catalogue/Details.asp?ISBN=978-3-8322-7786-4>
arXiv:math.DG/0607113v2. [1](#)
- [29] Duistermaat J. J., *On Hessian Riemannian structures*, Asian J. Math. **15**, no. 1, (2001), 79-91. [4.3](#)
- [30] P. Ehrlich, M. Sánchez, *Some semi-Riemannian volume comparison theorems*, Tohoku Math. J. 52 (2000) 285314. [3.2](#)
- [31] Emparan R. and Reall H. S., *Black Holes in Higher Dimensions*, arXiv:0801.3471. [3](#), [3.1](#)
- [32] Eschenburg J.-H. and Galloway G. J., *Lines in space-times*, Commun. in Math. Phys., **148**, (1992), 209-216. [3](#)
- [33] Fischer A. E. and Marsden J. E., *Manifolds of Riemannian Metrics with Prescribed Scalar Curvature*, Bull. of the A.M.S. **80**, no. 3, (1974), 479-484. [2.9](#)
- [34] Fischer A. E. and Marsden J. E., *Linearization Stability of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 27, (1975), 219-263. [2.9](#), [3.18](#), [3.2](#)
- [35] Fischer A. E. and Marsden J. E., *Deformations of the Scalar Curvature*, Duke Math. J. **42**, (1975), 519-547. [2.9](#)
- [36] Frankel T., *Gravitational Curvature*, W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1979. [3.1](#)
- [37] Frolov V. P. and Novikov I. D., *Black Hole Physics - Basic Concepts and New Developments*, Springer, 1998. [1](#), [3](#)
- [38] Galloway G. J. and Schoen R., *A Generalization of Hawkings Black Hole Topology Theorem to Higher Dimensions*, Commun.Math.Phys. 266, (2006), 571-576. [3](#), [3.1](#)
- [39] García-Parrado A. and Senovilla J. M. M., *Causal relationship: a new tool for the causal characterization of Lorentzian manifolds*, Class. Quantum Grav., **20**, (2003), 625-664. [1](#)

- [40] García-Parrado A. and Senovilla J. M. M., *Causal structures and causal boundaries*, Class. Quantum Grav., **22**, (2005), R1–R84. [1](#)
- [41] Gibbons G. W., *Causality and the Skyrme Model*, arXiv:hep-th/0302149v1. [3.1](#)
- [42] Hall G. S., *Symmetries And Curvature Structure In General Relativity*, Lecture Notes in Physics 46, World Scientific, 2004. [2](#)
- [43] Hawking S. W. and Ellis G. F. R., *The Large Scale Structure of Space-time*, Cambridge University Press, UK, 1973. [1](#), [3](#), [3.1](#), [3.1](#), [3.2](#)
- [44] Hughston L. P. - Tod K. P. *Introduction to general relativity* (LMS student texts 5, CUP, 1990) [2](#)
- [45] Hwang S., *Critical points of the total scalar curvature functional on the space of metrics of constant scalar curvature*, manuscripta math. **103**, (2000), 135-142. [2.9](#)
- [46] Hwang S., *The critical point equation on a three-dimensional compact manifold*, Proc. A.M.S. **131**, No. 10 (2003), 3221-3230. [2.9](#)
- [47] Kazdan J. L., *Prescribing the curvature of a Riemannian manifold*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 57, AMS, USA, 1985. [3.13](#)
- [48] Kazdan J. L., *Applications of Partial Differential Equations to Problems in Geometry*, 2006. <http://www.math.upenn.edu/~kazdan/> [3.13](#)
- [49] Kobayashi O., *A differential equation arising from scalar curvature function*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, Vol. **34**, No. 4, (1982), 665-675. [2.9](#)
- [50] Kobayashi O. and Obata, M., *Certain mathematical problems on static models in general relativity*, Proceedings of the 1980 Beijing Symposium on Differential Geometry and Differential Equations, Vol. 3, (eds. S. S. Chern and W. Wen-tsün), 1980, 1333-1344. [1](#), [2.9](#)
- [51] Kobayashi O. and Obata, M., *Conformally-flatness and Static Space-Time*, Manifolds and Lie Groups, Progress in Mathematics, **14**, Birkhäuser, (1981), 197-206. [1](#), [2.1](#), [2.9](#)
- [52] Kobayashi S., *Hyperbolic Manifolds and Holomorphic Mappings*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1970. [1](#)
- [53] Kobayashi S., *Hyperbolic manifolds and holomorphic mappings: an introduction. 2nd ed*, Singapore, World Scientific, 2005. [1](#)
- [54] Kobayashi S., *Hyperbolic complex spaces*, Berlin, Springer Verlag, 1998. [1](#)
- [55] Lafontaine J., *Sur la Géométrie d'une généralisation de l'équation différentielle d'Obata*, J. Math. pures et appl., **62**, (1983), 63-72. [2.9](#)
- [56] Lafontaine J., *A remark about static spacetimes*, J. Geom. and Phys., to appear. [2.9](#)
- [57] Lafontaine J. et L. Rozoy, *Courbure scalaire et trous noirs*, Séminaire de théorie spectrale et géométrie, Grenoble, Vol. **18**, (2000), 69-76. [2.9](#)
- [58] Lee K. K., *Another possible abnormality of compact space-time*, Canad. Math. Bul. **18**, 1975, 695-697. [3.1](#)
- [59] Li P, *Uniqueness of L^1 solutions for the Laplace equation and the heat equation on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **20** (1984), 447-457. [4](#)
- [60] Li P, *Curvature and Function Theory on Riemannian Manifolds*, Surveys in Differential Geometry: Papers dedicated to Atiyah, Bott, Hirzebruch, and Singer Vol VII, International Press (2000), 375-432. [3.2](#), [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [3.2](#), [3.2](#)
- [61] Li P, *Lectures on Harmonic Functions*, University of California, Irvine(2004), <http://www.math.uci.edu/~pli/>. [3.2](#), [3.2](#)

- [62] Li P. and Schoen R., *L^p and mean value properties of subharmonic functions on Riemannian manifolds*, Acta Math. 153 (1984), 279-301. [2](#), [3](#), [3.2](#)
- [63] Lichnerowicz A., *Propagateurs et Commutateurs en relativité générale*, Publ. Scientifiques, IHES No. 10, (1961) 293-344. [2.9](#)
- [64] Markowitz M. J., *An intrinsic conformal Lorentz pseudodistance*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **89** (2), 1981, 359-371. [1](#), [3.2](#), [4.1](#), [4.1](#), [4.1](#), [4.5](#)
- [65] Markowitz M. J., *Conformal hyperbolicity of Lorentzian warped products*, Gen. Relativity Gravitation **14** (2), 1982, 1095-1105. [1](#), [4.1](#)
- [66] Marsden J., *Applications of Global Analysis in Mathematical Physics*, Publish or Perish Inc., (1974). [2.9](#)
- [67] Mazzeo R., Pollack D. and Uhlenbeck K., *Moduli Spaces of Singular Yamabe Metrics*, Journal of AMS **9** No. 2, (1996). [2.9](#)
- [68] Nardmann M., *Nonexistence of spacelike foliations and the dominant energy condition in Lorentzian geometry*, arXiv:math/0702311v1 [math.DG]. [3](#)
- [69] O'Neill, B., *Semi-Riemannian Geometry With Applications to Relativity*, Academic Press, New York, 1983. [1](#), [2](#), [2.6](#), [3](#), [3.1](#), [3.14](#)
- [70] Ori A. and Soen Y., *Causality violation and the weak energy condition*, Phys. Rev. D, **49**, (1994), 3990-3997. [3.1](#)
- [71] Penrose R., *Techniques of Differential Topology in Relativity*, Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, PA, 1972. [3.1](#)
- [72] Protter M. H. and Weinberger H. F., *Maximum Principles in Differential Equations*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1984. [1](#)
- [73] Romero, A. and Sánchez M., *On the completeness of certain families of semi-Riemannian manifolds*, Geometriae Dedicata **53**, (1994), 103-117. [1](#)
- [74] Sachs R. K. and Wu H., *General relativity for mathematicians* (1977) [2](#)
- [75] Sánchez, M., *Geodesic connectedness of semi-Riemannian manifolds*, Nonlinear Analysis **47**, (2001), 3085-3102. [1](#)
- [76] Sánchez, M., *On the geometry of static spacetimes*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications **63**, (2005), e455-e463. [1](#)
- [77] Sánchez, M., *On causality and closed geodesics of compact Lorentzian manifolds and static spacetimes*, Differential Geometry and Its Applications **24**, (2006), 21-32. [1](#)
- [78] Sasaki T., *Projective differential geometry and linear homogeneous differential equations*, Rokko lectures in Math., Kobe University, Japan, 5 (1999) 1-115. [4.1](#)
- [79] Serrin J. and Zou H., *Cauchy-Liouville and universal boundedness theorems for quasilinear elliptic equations and inequalities*, Acta Math., 189 (2002), 79-142. [1](#)
- [80] Shima H., *Hessian manifolds of constant Hessian sectional curvature*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **47**, 4, (1995), 735-753. [4.3](#)
- [81] Shima H., *The Geometry of Hessian Structures*, World Scientific, 2007. [4.3](#)
- [82] Shima H. and Yagi K., *Geometry of Hessian manifolds*, Differential Geometry and its Applications **7**, (1997), 277-290. [4.3](#), [4.10](#)
- [83] Tashiro Y., *Complete Riemannian manifolds and some vector fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **117**, (1965), 251-275. [4.3](#), [4.10](#)
- [84] Tipler F., *Energy conditions and spacetime singularities*, Physical Review D, **17**, (1978), 2521-2528. [3](#)
- [85] Totaro B., *The curvature of a Hessian metric*, Internat. J. Math. **15**, no. 4, (2004), 369-391. [4.3](#)

- [86] Visser, M., *Lorentzian Wormholes-From Einstein to Hawking*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996. [1](#), [3](#), [3.1](#), [3.2](#)
- [87] Wald R. W., *General Relativity*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 1984. [1](#), [3](#)
- [88] Yano K., *Integral Formulas in Riemannian Geometry*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1970. [2.8](#)
- [89] Yau S.T., Some function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifolds and their applications to geometry, Indiana Math. J. 25 (1976), 659670. [1](#), [3.2](#)

(F. Dobarro) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI DI TRIESTE, VIA VALERIO 12/B, I-34127 TRIESTE, ITALY
E-mail address: `dobarro@dmf.units.it`

(B. Ünal) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BILKENT UNIVERSITY, BILKENT,
06800 ANKARA, TURKEY
E-mail address: `bulentunal@mail.com`